arXiv:1605.01706v2 [math.FA] 8 Aug 2016

Stability theorems for the n-order hold models

Laura De Carli, Pierluigi Vellucci

Abstract. We prove stability results for a class of Gabor frames in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Our results can be used to describe the effect of the timing jitters in the p-order hold models of signal reconstruction that are used in electronics and communication theory.

1. Introduction

In this paper we prove stability results for Gabor frames and bases of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that are relevant in electronics and communication theory. A *Gabor system* in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a collection of functions $\mathcal{G} = \{e^{2\pi i b_n x} g(x - a_k)\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where g (the *window function*) is a fixed function in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $a_k,\ b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ When $\mathcal{G}$ is a frame, every function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ can be represented in terms of its "windowed Fourier transforms", $F_g(f)(a_k, b_n) = \langle f,\ e^{2\pi i b_n x} g(x - a_k)\rangle_2$, where $\langle f,\, g\rangle_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\bar{g}(x)dx$ denotes the standard inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Such representation is unique when $\mathcal{G}$ is a Riesz basis (see Section 2 for definitions of frame and Riesz basis). Gabor systems have had a fundamental impact on the development of modern time-frequency analysis and have been widely used in all branches of pure and applied sciences. In the *regular case*, i.e., when $(a_k, b_n) = (ak, bn)$ for some $a, b > 0$, we let $\mathcal{G}(g, a, b) = \{e^{2\pi binx} g(x - ak)\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

An important problem is to determine conditions on the window function g, the *time sampling* $\{a_k\}$ and the *frequency sampling* $\{b_n\}$ which imply that a Gabor system is a frame. In the regular case many necessary and sufficient conditions on g, a and b are known but the understanding of irregular Gabor frames is still lacking, (see Sections 2.1 and 2.3). Given a regular Gabor frame $\mathcal{G}(g, a, b)$, it is important to determine bounds $\delta_{n,k} > 0$ so that each set $\mathcal{F} = \{e^{2\pi i b\lambda_n x} g(x - a\mu_k)\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame whenever $|\lambda_n - n| + |\mu_k - k| < \delta_{n,k}$. If the $\delta_{n,k}$ are bounded below by a positive constant independent of n and k, we say that $\mathcal{G}(g, a, b)$ is *stable* and that $\delta = \inf_{n,k} \delta_{n,k}$ is a *stability bound* for $\mathcal{G}(g, a, b)$.

In this paper we investigate the stability of Gabor frames and bases $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ for a class of square-integrable functions g. In particular we investigate the stability of $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)},a,b)$, where $\mathrm{rect}(x)=\chi_{[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]}(x)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]$ and $\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x)=\mathrm{rect}*...*\mathrm{rect}(x)$ is the p-times iterated convolution of $\mathrm{rect}(x)$. The function $\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x)$ is a piecewise polynomial function of degree $p-1$, (see Lemma 8) and a prime example of *B-spline* of order $p-1$. We refer the interested reader to [**29**], where the B-splines were first introduced, and to [**25**], [**35**] and the references cited there.

Our investigation is motivated by the study of the timing jitters in signal reconstruction models that are used in electronics and communication theory, as we have described in the Appendix 1.

1.1. Notation and main results.

- We denote with $\langle f,\,g\rangle_2=\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x)\bar{g}(x)dx$ and $||f||_2=\sqrt{\langle f,f\rangle}$ the standard inner product and norm in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We define the Fourier transform of a function $f\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as $\hat{f}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(t)e^{-2\pi ixt}dt$.

- Let $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ be a Gabor systems. We recall from Section 2 that $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ is not a frame if $ab>1$; if $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ is a frame and $ab=1$, then it is a Riesz basis. Throughout this paper we assume, often without saying, that $0<ab\leq 1$ and that the frames under consideration are Riesz bases when $ab=1$.
- We consider sets of coefficients $\{\mu_{n,k}\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}\subset\mathbb{R}$, with

$$L_n=\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|\mu_{n,k}-k|<1;\quad L=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}L_n<\infty. \tag{1.1}$$

  The assumption $L_n<1$ is made to simplify the statement of some result, but it is not necessary in the proofs.

We prove first a stability result for the frame $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect},a,b)$.

THEOREM 1. *Assume* $0<a\leq 1$, *and*

$$4abL<1.$$

The set $\mathcal{F}=\left\{e^{2\pi ibmt}\,\mathrm{rect}\,(a\mu_{n,k}-t)\right\}_{k,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *is a frame of* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *with constants* $A=(1-2(abL)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$ *and* $B=(1+2(abL)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$. *In particular,* $\mathcal{F}$ *is a Riesz basis if* $ab=1$ *and* $0\leq L<\frac{1}{4}$.

When $a>1$, the set $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect},a,b)$ is not a frame because all functions in $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect},a,b)$ vanish on the intervals $\{(ak+\frac{1}{2},\ a(k+1)-\frac{1}{2})\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Observe also that if the coefficients $\mu_{n,k}$ in the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ are bounded below by a positive δ_k independent of n, then $\mathcal{F}$ is not a frame. For example, let $a=b=1$ and let $\mu_{n,k}=k$ if $k\neq 0$ and $\mu_{n,0}=d$, where $d>0$ is fixed. All functions in the set $\mathcal{F}=\left\{e^{2\pi int}\,\mathrm{rect}\,(k-t)\right\}_{\substack{k\neq 0\\ n\in\mathbb{Z}}}\cup\left\{e^{2\pi int}\,\mathrm{rect}\,(d-t)\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ vanish on the interval $(-\frac{1}{2},-d)$ and so $\mathcal{F}$ is not complete.

Theorem 1 has an interest of its own because it describes the time jitters in the ZOH model (see the Appendix) and it is a crucial step in the proof of Theorem 2 below.

THEOREM 2. *Let $p \geq 1$ be an integer; let $0 < a \leq 1$ when $p = 1$ and $0 < a < p$ when $p \geq 2$. If $4abL < \left(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right)^2$, the set $\mathcal{F} = \left\{e^{2\pi ibnt}\,\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(t - a\mu_{k,n})\right\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame with constants $A = (1 - \frac{2(abL)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)})^2$ and $B = (1 + \frac{2(abL)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)})^2$*

Theorem 2 can be used to describe the effect of the time jitters in the pOH model described in the Appendix.

We prove Theorems 1 and 2 in Section 3. In Section 2 we recall definitions and preliminary results and we prove some important Lemmas. In Section 4 we prove some corollaries and a generalization of Theorem 1 in dimension $d > 1$. We have described the p-order old models in the Appendix.

2. Preliminary

We have used the excellent textbooks [**15**] and [**36**] for most of the definitions and results in this section. A sequence $\mathcal{V} = \{v_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in a separable Hilbert space H is a *frame* if there exist constants $A,\ B > 0$ such that

$$A||w||^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle w, v_j\rangle|^2 \leq B||w||^2. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ and $||\ || = \sqrt{\langle\ ,\ \rangle}$ are the inner product and the norm in H. We say that $\mathcal{V}$ is a *tight frame* if $A = B$, and is a *Parseval frame* if $A = B = 1$.

The left inequality in (2.1) implies that $\mathcal{V}$ is a complete set of vectors in H but it may not be linearly independent. A *Riesz basis* is a linearly independent frame. Every $v \in H$ can be uniquely represented as a generalized Fourier series

$$v = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_n v_n \tag{2.2}$$

where the series converges in H and the coefficients a_n's are uniquely determined by v. If $\mathcal{V}$ is a frame, every $v \in H$ can still be represented as in (2.2) but the coefficients a_n may not be unique. This feature makes frames more desirable than bases in the applications; for example, frames allow for reconstructions of signals that are stable and resistant to erasure.

2.1. Gabor systems in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We have recalled the definition of Gabor systems in the introduction. The regular Gabor system $\mathcal{G}(g, a, b) = \{e^{2\pi inbx}g(x - ka) : k, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, with $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, can be a frame or a Riesz basis depending on what the parameters a and b are. The value $1/(ab)$ is often referred to as the *critical density*, or the *Nyquist density* of the system. The following results are in [**15**, Chapt. 11].

THEOREM 3. *If $\mathcal{G}(g,b,a)$ is a frame with frame bounds A and B, the following statements hold:*

(1) $Ab \leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |g(x+ak)|^2 \leq Bb$ *for a.e.* $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
(2) $Aab \leq ||g||_2^2 \leq Bab$
(3) $0 < ab \leq 1$
(4) $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ *is a Riesz basis if and only if* $ab = 1$.

In (1), a.e. means "with the possible exception of a set of Lebesgue measure zero".

Parts (2) and (3) were proved by I. Daubechies in her seminal paper [**9**]. It is also shown in [**15**] that the value of ab separates Gabor systems into three categories:

- If $ab > 1$ then $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ is not a frame.
- If $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ is a frame and $ab = 1$ then it is a Riesz basis.
- If $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ is a frame and $0 < ab < 1$ then it is a redundant frame.

When g has compact support, the following holds:

THEOREM 4. *Assume that g is supported in the interval $[x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{b}]$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and that $0 < ab \leq 1$; then, $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ is a frame of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if condition (1) in Theorem 3 is satisfied. If that is the case, the constants A, B in (1) are frame bounds of $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$.*

If $a > \frac{1}{b}$, condition (1) in Theorem 3 cannot be satisfied because the supports of the translates of g do not cover the real line and consequently $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ cannot be complete. Also, when g is continuous and $a = \frac{1}{b}$, the (continuous) function in (1) vanishes at $x = x_0$, and so the inequality in (1) can only hold with $A = 0$. Consequently, if g is continuous with compact support and $\mathcal{G}(g,a,b)$ is a frame, the translates of g must overlap.

Example 1. The set $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}, a, b) = \{e^{2\pi bint}\,\mathrm{rect}(t-ak)\}$ satisfies condition (1) in Theorem 3 only if $a \leq 1$ (that is, if the supports of the functions in $\mathcal{G}$ cover the real line). Consequently, $\mathcal{G}$ is a frame if $b \leq \frac{1}{a}$, and a Riesz basis if $b = \frac{1}{a}$. In particular, the set $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}, 1, 1)$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 2. Consider the set $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(2)}, 2, b)$, with $0 < b \leq \frac{1}{2}$; since $\mathrm{rect}^{(2)}(x) = (1-|x|)_+$ is continuous in the interval $[-1,1]$, the condition (1) in Theorem 3 is not satisfied; thus, $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(2)}, 2, b)$ is not a frame of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, but for every $0 < a < 2$ and every $\frac{1}{2} < b \leq \frac{1}{a}$, the set $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(2)}, a, b)$ is a frame, and it is a Riesz basis when $b = \frac{1}{a}$.

In general, when $p \geq 2$ and $0 < a < p$, the set $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}, a, b)$ is a frame of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ when $\frac{1}{p} < b \leq \frac{1}{a}$ and a Riesz basis when $b = \frac{1}{a}$. Because the support of the functions $\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x-ka)$ overlap, the sets $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}, a, a^{-1})$ is not orthogonal.

We conclude this section with the following observations. Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and constants $\alpha, \beta, T \in \mathbb{R}$ with $T \neq 0$; the Fourier transform of $t \to e^{2\pi i \beta \frac{t}{T}} \psi(\frac{t}{T} - \alpha)$ is $x \to T e^{2\pi i \alpha(\beta - Tx)} \hat{\psi}(\beta - Tx)$. In particular, the Fourier transform of $t \to e^{\frac{2\pi i t \beta}{T}} \operatorname{rect}^{(p)}\left(\frac{t}{T} - \alpha\right)$ is $x \to T e^{2\pi i \alpha(\beta - Tx)} \operatorname{sinc}^p(\beta - Tx)$, where $\operatorname{sinc}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi t}$ when $t \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{sinc}(0) = 1$.

By Plancherel theorem, if $\mathcal{F} = \{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then also $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \{\hat{f}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the same frame constants; the Fourier transform maps $\mathcal{G}(g, a, b)$ onto $\mathcal{G}(e^{2\pi i a b} \hat{g}, b, -a)$, and so it maps $\mathcal{G}(\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}, a, b)$ onto $\mathcal{G}(e^{2\pi i a b} \operatorname{sinc}^p, b, -a)$. Thus, stability results for frames $\mathcal{G}(\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}, a, b)$ yield stability results for frames $\mathcal{G}(\operatorname{sinc}^p, b, -a)$ and viceversa. A sample result is Corollary 12 in Section 4.

2.2. Stability. Bases and frames in Hilbert spaces are *stable*, in the sense that sequences that are sufficiently close to bases (or frames) are themselves bases (or frames).

The Krein-Milman-Rutman theorem states that for every Riesz basis $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of a Hilbert space H, there exist positive constants $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} > 0$ such that every sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ that satisfies $\|f_n - g_n\| < \epsilon_n$ whenever $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a Riesz basis for H. See e.g [**36**] for a proof of this theorem and for other results mentioned in this sub-section.

A Riesz basis (or frame) $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset H$ is *uniformly stable* if there exist a constant $L > 0$ so that every set $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset H$ that satisfies $||g_n - f_n|| < L$ is also a Riesz basis (or frame) of H. The constant L is called *stability bound* for the basis (or frame) $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

An important stability criterion for Riesz bases, and historically the first, is due to Paley and Wiener [**24**].

THEOREM 5. *Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a Riesz basis of a Hilbert space H; let $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset H$. If the inequality $\|\sum_n a_n(x_n - y_n)\| \leq C \|\sum_n a_n x_n\|$ holds for all finite families of scalars $\{a_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with a constant $0 \leq C < 1$, the set $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of H.*

Not all Riesz bases or frames in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ are uniformly stable (for example, Theorem 1 shows that the Gabor frames $\mathcal{G}(\operatorname{rect}, a, b)$ is not), but it is remarked in [**19**] that is always possible to find an uniform stability bound for Riesz bases of exponentials over a bounded set. In particular, the celebrated Kadec stability theorem provides the optimal stability bound $L = \frac{1}{4}$ for the exponential basis $\{e^{2\pi i n x}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset L^2(0, 1)$ ([**18**], [**36**, p. 19]). Kadec theorem is often mentioned as an example of nonuniform sampling for reconstruction of bandlimited functions ([**1**] and reference therein, [**5**]).

The following stability theorem for frames is in [**31**] (see also [**6**]).

THEOREM 6. *Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a frame for a Hilbert space H with bounds A, B. Let $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset H$ be such that the inequality $\|\sum_n a_n(x_n - y_n)\|^2 \leq C \sum_n |a_n|^2$ is valid with a constant $0 < C < A$. Then, $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame*

with bounds $(1-(C/A)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$ *and* $(1+(C/A)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$. *If* $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *is a Riesz basis, then* $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *is also a Riesz basis.*

2.3. Stability of Gabor frames. The stability of frames in the form of $\mathcal{G}=\{e^{2\pi i b_n x}g(x-a_k)\}\subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ can be tested using perturbation of the frequency sampling $\{b_n\}$ or the time sampling $\{a_k\}$, or using perturbations of the window function g.

The following is a short (and most likely incomplete) survey of results related to our work. In [**12**] the stability of Gabor frames and bases $\mathcal{G}(\phi,a,b)$ under some perturbation of the sampling sequence $\{ak\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is discussed. See also [**8**]. Some results in [**12**, Theorems 16, 17] have been improved by W. Sun and X. Zhou in [**31**]; the assumptions of [**12**, Theorem 16] do not apply to the frames that we have considered in this paper. In [**31**, Theorems 2.1, 2.2] it is shown that when ϕ is sufficiently regular, the frame $\mathcal{G}(\phi,a,b)$ is uniformly stable when the time sampling are perturbed. That is, it is shown that there exist $\delta>0$ such that if $|\mu_k-k|\le\delta$ for every $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, then $\{e^{2\pi i bnx}g(x-\mu_k a)\}$ is a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

The stability of Gabor frames with irregular sampling points is considered in [**13**], [**33**].

The following stability theorem is proved in [**30**, Theorem 2.3].

THEOREM 7. *Assume that* $\operatorname{supp}(\phi)\subset[x_0,\,x_0+\frac{1}{b}]$ *for some* $x_0\in\mathbb{R}$ *and that* $\mathcal{G}(\phi,a,b)$ *is a frame in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *with bounds* A *and* B. *Let* $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\subset\mathbb{R}$ *be such that*

$$|\lambda_n-n|\le L<\frac{1}{4}\quad \text{for every } n\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then, the set $\{e^{2\pi i b\lambda_n t}\phi(t-ak)\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *is also frame in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *with bounds* $A'=A(\cos(\pi L)-\sin(\pi L))$ *and* $B'=B(2-\cos(\pi L)+\sin(\pi L))$.

By Theorem 7, the system $\{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n t}\operatorname{rect}(t-k)\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis whenever (2.3) is satisfied; by the observations in Section 2, the set $\{e^{2\pi ikt}\operatorname{sinc}(t-\lambda_n)\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with bounds A' and B'.

2.4. Three important lemmas. We recall that for every $p\ge 2$, $\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}(x)=\operatorname{rect}*\ldots*\operatorname{rect}(x)$ is the p-fold convolution of $\operatorname{rect}(t)$. Thus, for every $p\ge 1$,

$$\operatorname{rect}^{(p+1)}(x)=\operatorname{rect}(t)*\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}(t)=\int_{x-\frac{1}{2}}^{x+\frac{1}{2}}\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}(t)dt \tag{2.4}$$

It is easy to verify that $\operatorname{rect}^p(t)$ is supported in the interval $[-\frac{p}{2},\frac{p}{2}]$. We can also verify that $\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}$ is even and continuous when $p\ge 2$ and is differentiable when $p\ge 3$. Furthermore, $0\le\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}(t)\le 1$ for every $t\in\mathbb{R}$. We prove the following.

LEMMA 8. *Let* $1\le i\le p$ *and* $\Lambda_i^p(x)=\chi_{\left[-\frac{p}{2}+i-1,-\frac{p}{2}+i\right]}(x)$. *For every* $1\le i\le p$, *the function* $\Lambda_i^p(x)\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}(x)$ *is a polynomial of degree* $p-1$. *When* $p\ge 2$, $\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}(x)$ *is increasing in* $(-\infty,\,0)$ *and is decreasing in* $(0,\infty)$.

PROOF. We can write $\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Lambda_i^p(t)\,\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(t)$. In [**28**] the following explicit formula has been proved for every $p \geq 1$.

$$\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x) = \frac{1}{(p-1)!}\sum_{j=0}^{p}(-1)^j \binom{p}{j}\left(x+\frac{p}{2}-j\right)_+^{p-1} \tag{2.5}$$

where, as usual, $x_+ = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$ See also [**29**]. The formula in (2.5) proves the first part of Lemma 8. We are left to prove the following claim:

$$\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x) \text{ is increasing in } (-\infty, 0) \text{ whenever } p \geq 2 \tag{2.6}$$

We do so by induction on p. We can easily verify (2.6) when $p = 2$ because $\mathrm{rect}^{(2)}(x) = (1-|x|)_+$. Assume now that (2.6) is true for every $2 \leq k \leq p$; since $\mathrm{rect}^{(p+1)}$ is differentiable when $p \geq 2$, in order to prove (2.6) we only need to show that $(\mathrm{rect}^{(p+1)})'(x) > 0$ whenever $x < 0$. By the identity (2.4) and the fundamental theorem of Calculus, we have that

$$(\mathrm{rect}^{(p+1)})'(x) = \mathrm{rect}^{(p)}\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right) - \mathrm{rect}^{(p)}\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

When $x < -\frac{1}{2}$ we can see at once that $\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x+\frac{1}{2}) - \mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x-\frac{1}{2}) > 0$ because by assumption $\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}$ is increasing in $(-\infty, 0)$. When $-\frac{1}{2} \leq x < 0$, we observe that $\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x+\frac{1}{2}) = \mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(-x-\frac{1}{2})$ because $\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}$ is even; since $-x-\frac{1}{2} > x-\frac{1}{2}$, we have that $(\mathrm{rect}^{(p+1)})'(x) = \mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(-x-\frac{1}{2}) - \mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x-\frac{1}{2}) > 0$ as required. □

In the next lemma we evaluate the frame constants of $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}, a, b)$. We recall from Section 2.1 that $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}, a, b)$ is a frame if and only if $0 < a < p$ (but we can have $0 \leq a \leq 1$ when $p = 1$) and $0 \leq ab \leq 1$; we assume that the parameters a and b meet these conditions. We use the notation $[x]$ to denote the integer part of a real number x. When $x \geq 0$, $[x]$ is the integer $n \geq 0$ that satisfies $n \leq x < n+1$.

LEMMA 9. *For every $p \geq 1$, the optimal frame constants of $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}, a, b)$ are $B_p = \frac{1}{b}[p/a]$ and $A_p \geq \frac{1}{b}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(\frac{a}{2}))^2$.*

PROOF. Let $S_p(a,x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x-an))^2$. By Theorem 4, the frame constants of $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}, a, b)$ are $A_p = \frac{1}{b}\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} S_p(a,x)$, $B_p = \frac{1}{b}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} S_p(a,x)$. Note that S_p is periodic with period a, i.e., $S_p(a,x) = S_p(a, a+x)$. Thus, A_p and B_p equal the minimum and maximum of $S_p(a,x)$ in the interval $[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}]$.

The maximum of $S_p(a,x)$ is easy to evaluate: the functions $\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(t-na)$ are supported in the interval $J_n = [na - \frac{p}{2}, na + \frac{p}{2}]$ and each point in the interval $[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}]$ belongs to at most $[\frac{p}{a}]$ overlapping J_n's. Since $\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(t) \leq 1$, we have that $S_p(a,x) \leq [\frac{p}{a}]$.

To estimate the minimum of $S_p(a,x)$ we observe that

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}} S_p(a,x) = \min_{|x|\le\frac{a}{2}} S_p(a,x) \ge \min_{|x|\le\frac{a}{2}} (\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x))^2.$$

By Lemma 8, the function $(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x))^2$ is even and is increasing when $x<0$ and is decreasing when $x>0$. Thus, $\min_{|x|\le\frac{a}{2}}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(x))^2 = (\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(\frac{a}{2}))^2$ as required.

□

The following lemma is crucial to prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

LEMMA 10. *Let $\{\mu_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be such that $L = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\mu_k - k| < \infty$. Then, for every finite sequence $\{\alpha_k\} \subset \ell^2$ we have that*

$$\left\| \sum_k \alpha_k (\mathrm{rect}(t-ak) - \mathrm{rect}(t-a\mu_k)) \right\|_2^2 < 2a(z+1)L \sum_k |\alpha_k|^2 \tag{2.7}$$

where $z=0$ when $0<L\le\frac{a-1}{2a}$ and $z=[L]+1$ in all other cases.

PROOF. We can assume $\sum_k |\alpha_k|^2 = 1$. Let $L = \sup|\mu_k - k|$ and

$$f(t) = \sum_k \alpha_k \left(\mathrm{rect}(t-ak) - \mathrm{rect}(t-a\mu_k)\right) = \sum_k \alpha_k \chi_{I_k}, \tag{2.8}$$

where I_j denotes the support of $\mathrm{rect}(t-aj) - \mathrm{rect}(t-a\mu_j)$. When $\mu_j \neq j$, I_j is union of two intervals that we denote with I_j^+ and I_j^-. When $\mu_j > j$, we let

$$I_j^- = (aj - \frac{1}{2},\ a\mu_j - \frac{1}{2}), \quad I_j^+ = (aj + \frac{1}{2},\ a\mu_j + \frac{1}{2}).$$

We use (improperly) the same notation to denote I_j^+ and I_j^- also when $\mu_j < j$.

If the I_j's do not intersect, then

$$||f||_2^2 = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\alpha_k|^2 |I_k| \le 2aL.$$

This case is possible if $a>1$, and if $a-1$, the measure of the "gap" between the supports of the functions $\mathrm{rect}(t-ak)$, is larger than $2aL$. That is, if $L < \frac{a-1}{2a}$.



FIGURE 1

In all other cases, the intervals $I_j^{\pm}$ may intersect. Let I_h be fixed, and let $z = z(h)$ be the maximum number of intervals I_j, with $j \neq h$, that intersect I_h. In (2.8), the functions χ_{I_k} that overlap are at most $z+1$ and so the sum in (2.8) has at most $z+1$ terms. By the elementary inequality $(x_1 + \cdots + x_m)^2 \leq m\left(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2\right)$ which is valid for every $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $|f(x)|^2 \leq (z+1)\sum_k |\alpha_k|^2 \chi_{I_k}(x)$, and

$$||f||_2^2 \leq (z+1)\sup_k |I_k| = 2L(z+1).$$

We are left to evaluate z. Clearly z is maximum when the μ_j equal $j \pm L$, so we assume that this is the case. For simplicity we let $I_h = I_0$, with $I_0^+ = (\frac{1}{2},\ aL + \frac{1}{2})$ and $I_0^- = (-\frac{1}{2},\ aL - \frac{1}{2})$, and $\mu_j = j + L$ (the case $\mu_j = j - L$ is similar). The interval $I_j^+ = (aj + \frac{1}{2},\ a(j+L) + \frac{1}{2})$ intersects I_0^+ either if

$$\text{a)} \quad \frac{1}{2} < aj + \frac{1}{2} < aL + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{or} \quad b) \quad \frac{1}{2} < a(j+L) + \frac{1}{2} < aL + \frac{1}{2}.$$

The inequality a) is equivalent to $0 < j < L$, which is satisfied only if $0 < j < [L]$. The inequality b) is equivalent to $0 < j + L < L$, which is satisfied when $-L < j < 0$ Thus, there are at most $[L]$ integers j's that satisfy either a) or b).

The interval $I_j^- = (aj - \frac{1}{2},\ a(j+L) - \frac{1}{2})$ intersects I_0^+ if

$$\text{a)} \quad \frac{1}{2} < aj - \frac{1}{2} < aL + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{or } b) \quad \frac{1}{2} < a(j+L) - \frac{1}{2} < aL + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\text{a)} \quad \frac{1}{a} < j < L + \frac{1}{a}, \quad \text{or } b) \quad \frac{1}{a} - L < j < \frac{1}{a}.$$

In this case, there are at most $[L]+1$ integers j's that satisfy either inequalities a) or b). Thus, $z(0) = 0$ when $a > 1$ and $L < \frac{a-1}{2a}$, and $z(0) \leq [L] + 1$ in all other cases. The proof of Lemma 10 is concluded. □

3. Proofs of Theorems 1–2

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 9, the lower frame bounds of $\mathcal{G}(\text{rect}, a, b)$ is $A = \frac{1}{b}$. Fix a finite set of coefficients $\{\alpha_{n,k}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $\sum_{n,k} |\alpha_{n,k}|^2 = 1$; let

$$f(t) = \sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n,k} e^{2\pi i b n t} \left(\text{rect}\left(t - ak\right) - \text{rect}\left(t - a\mu_{k,n}\right)\right).$$

If $||f||_2 < \frac{1}{b}$ for every set of coefficients $\{\alpha_{n,k}\}$, Theorem 6 yields that the set $\mathcal{F}$ in Theorem 1 is a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and a Riesz basis when $ab = 1$.

We let $f(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i b n t} f_n(t)$, where

$$f_n(t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n,k} \left(\text{rect}\left(t - ak\right) - \text{rect}\left(t - a\mu_{k,n}\right)\right).$$

By the triangle inequality, $||f||_2 \leq \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} ||f_n||_2$, and Lemma 10 (with $z=1$) yields $||f_n||_2^2 \leq 4aL_n \sum_k |\alpha_{n,k}|^2$. Thus,

$$||f||_2 \leq \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{4aL_n} \left(\sum_k |\alpha_{n,k}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and by Hölder's inequality and the fact that $\sum_{n,k} |\alpha_{n,k}|^2 = 1$, we obtain

$$||f||_2^2 \leq 4a \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_n = 4aL. \tag{3.1}$$

By Theorem 6 the set $\mathcal{F}$ in the statement of Theorem 1 is a frame with constants $A' = (1 - 2(aL)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$ and $B' = (1 + 2(aL)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$ whenever $4aL < \frac{1}{b}$, as required. □

Proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 8, the frame constants of $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}, a, b)$ are $A_p \geq \frac{1}{b}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(\frac{a}{2}))^2$ and $B_p = \frac{1}{b}[p/a]$. We use Theorem 6. Let $\{\alpha_{n,k}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a finite sequence such that $\sum_{n,k} |\alpha_{n,k}|^2 = 1$. Let

$$f_p(t) = \sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n,k} e^{2\pi i b n t} \left(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(t - ak) - \mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(t - a\mu_{k,n}) \right). \tag{3.2}$$

We prove that, for every $p \geq 1$,

$$||f_p||_2^2 \leq 4aL \tag{3.3}$$

where $L = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \sup_{h\in\mathbb{Z}} |\mu_{n,h} - h|$ is as in the proof of Theorem 1. By assumption $4aL < \frac{1}{b}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(\frac{a}{2}))^2 \leq A_p$, and so the thesis of Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 6.

We prove (3.3) by induction on p. The $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ norm of the function $f_1(t)$ has been estimated in Theorem 1 and we have proved (3.3). Assume that (3.3) is satisfied by f_{p-1}, with $p \geq 2$. Recalling that $\mathrm{rect}^{(k)}(x) = \mathrm{rect} * \mathrm{rect}^{(k-1)}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{rect}(x-y)\,\mathrm{rect}^{k-1}(y)dy$, (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} f_p(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{rect}(t-y) \sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n,k} e^{2\pi i b n t} \left(\mathrm{rect}^{(p-1)}(y - ak) - \mathrm{rect}^{(p-1)}(y - a\mu_{k,n}) \right) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{rect}(t-y) e^{2\pi i b n (t-y)} f_{p-1}(y) dy \\ &= \left(e^{2\pi i b n t}\, \mathrm{rect}\, t \right) * f_{p-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

By the Young inequality for convolution and (3.3),

$$||f_p||_2 \leq ||\,\mathrm{rect}\,||_1 ||f_{p-1}||_2 \leq (4aL)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{b^{\frac{1}{2}}} (\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(\tfrac{a}{2}))$$

as required. □

4. Corollaries and generalizations

In this section we prove corollaries of Theorems 1 and 2. We start with two corollaries of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 11. *Let $p \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $0 < a < p$ when $p > 1$ and $0 \leq a \leq 1$ when $p = 1$. Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies $|\lambda_n - n| \leq \ell < \frac{1}{4}$; let $\{\mu_{n,k}\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be as in (1.1). If*

$$4abL < \left[\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(a/2)\right]^2 (\cos(\pi\ell) - \sin(\pi\ell)),$$

the set $\{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n bt}\,\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(t - a\mu_{n,k})\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame with constants $A''_p = \left(1 - \frac{2}{\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(a/2)}(\frac{abL}{\cos(\pi\ell)-\sin(\pi\ell)})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2$ and $B''_p = \left(1 + \frac{2}{\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(a/2)}(\frac{abL}{\cos(\pi\ell)-\sin(\pi\ell)})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2$.

PROOF. Let $c = 1 - \cos(\pi\ell) + \sin(\pi\ell)$. Recalling that the frame constants of $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}, a, b)$ are $A_p \geq \frac{1}{b}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(a/2))^2$ and $B = \frac{1}{b}[\frac{p}{a}]$, by Theorem 7 the set $\{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n bt}\,\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(t - ak)\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame with constants $A'_p \geq \frac{1}{b}(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(a/2))^2(1 - c)$ and $B'_p = \frac{1}{b}[\frac{p}{a}](1 + c)$.

The proof of Theorem 2 can be repeated line by line for the set $\mathcal{F} = \{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n bt}\,\mathrm{rect}(t - a\mu_{n,k})\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, with λ_n in place of n. We conclude that if $4aL < A'_p$, the set $\{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n bt}\,\mathrm{rect}(t - a\mu_{n,k})\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame with constants $A''_p = (1 - \frac{2}{\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(a/2)}(\frac{abL}{1-c})^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$ and $B'' = (1 + \frac{2}{\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}(a/2)}(\frac{abL}{1-c})^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$. □

By Plancherel theorem, a set $\mathcal{B} = \{\phi_j\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} = \{\hat{\phi}_j\}$ is a frame with the same frame constants; this observation and Corollary 11 yield the following

COROLLARY 12. *With the notations and assumptions of Corollary 11, the set $\left\{e^{-2\pi i a\mu_{n,k}t}\,\mathrm{sinc}^p\,(t - b\lambda_n)\right\}_{k,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is frame of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with constants A''_p and B''_p.*

In order to prove a multi-dimensional version of Theorem 1 we need new notation: For any two vectors $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we let $\langle \mathbf{v},\ \mathbf{w}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^d v_j w_j$ and $|\mathbf{w}| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{w},\ \mathbf{w}\rangle}$ be the usual scalar product and norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$. For greater immediacy in the exposition we will denote with $\mathbf{1}$ the vector of $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose entries are all $= 1$, i.e. $\mathbf{1} = (\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{d})$ and with $\mathrm{rect}\,(\mathbf{x})$ the product of the functions $\mathrm{rect}(x_1)$, …, $\mathrm{rect}(x_d)$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. We denote with $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = (v_1\, w_1, \ldots, c_d\, w_d)$ the Hadamard (componentwise) product of the vectors $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$.

THEOREM 13. *Let $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ and $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_d)$, where $0 < a_j \leq 1$ and $0 < a_j b_j \leq 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, d$. If $\{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{n}}\}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, with*

$\boldsymbol{\mu_{k,n}} := (\mu_{k_1,n_1}, \dots, \mu_{k_d,n_d})$, is a set of vectorial coefficients that satisfy

$$L_j = \sum_{n_j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{h_j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \mu_{n_j,h_j} - h_j \right| < \frac{1}{4a_j b_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \tag{4.1}$$

the set $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ e^{2\pi i \langle \boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{t}\rangle} \operatorname{rect}\left(\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu_{n,k}} - \boldsymbol{t}\right)\right\}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with constants $A = \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - 2(a_j b_j L_j)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$ and $B = \prod_{j=1}^d (1 + 2(a_j b_j L_j)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$.

Proof. We prove the theorem only for $d = 2$. For $d > 2$, the proof is similar.

By (2.2), $\mathcal{B}$ is a frame if for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of norm $= 1$,

$$A \le \sum_{n_j,k_j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t_1,t_2) e^{-2\pi i (b_1 n_1 t_1 + b_2 n_2 t_2)} \prod_{j=1,2} \operatorname{rect}(\mu_{n_j,k_j} - t_1 a_j) dt_1 dt_2 \right)^2 \le B.$$

Fix t_2, $\mu_{n_2,k_2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $g(t_1) = g_{n_2,k_2}(t_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t_1,t_2) e^{-2\pi i b_2 n_2 t_2} \operatorname{rect}(\mu_{n_2,k_2} - t_2 a_2)) dt_2$. With this notation, the inequality above can be written as

$$A \le \sum_{n_j,k_j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t_1) e^{-2\pi i b_1 n_1 t_1} \operatorname{rect}(\mu_{n_1,k_1} - t_1 a_j) dt_1 \right)^2 \le B. \tag{4.2}$$

By Theorem 1, both sets $\mathcal{B}_j = \left\{ e^{2\pi i b_j n_j t_j} \operatorname{rect}\left(a_j \mu_{n_j,k_j} - t_j\right)\right\}_{k_j,n_j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, with $j = 1, 2$ are frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with bounds $A_j = (1 - 2(a_j b_j L_j)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$, $B_j = (1 + 2(a_j b_j L_j)^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$. By (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 ||g_{n_2,k_2}||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\le \sum_{n_1,k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t_1) e^{-2\pi i b_1 n_1 t_1} \operatorname{rect}(\mu_{n_1,k_1} - t_1 a_j) dt_1 \right)^2 \\ &\le B_1 ||g_{n_2,k_2}||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

But $||g_{n_2,k_2}||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t_1,t_2) e^{-2\pi i b_2 n_2 t_2} \operatorname{rect}(\mu_{n_2,k_2} - t_2 a_2)) dt_2 \right)^2 dt_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_2,k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} ||g_{n_2,k_2}||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{n_2,k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t_1,t_2) e^{-2\pi i b_2 n_2 t_2} \operatorname{rect}(\mu_{n_2,k_2} - t_2 a_2)) dt_2 \right)^2 dt_1 \\ &\le B_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t_1,t_2)|^2 dt_2 \right) dt_1 = B_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\sum_{n_2,k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} ||g_{n_2,k_2}||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \ge A_2$. These inequalities and (4.3) yield (4.2). □

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. In the same way in which we have defined the multidimensional rect function as the product of one dimensional rect functions, we denote with $\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}(\mathbf{x})$ the product of the functions $\operatorname{rect}^{(p)}(x_1), \dots, \operatorname{rect}^{(p)}(x_d)$. We have the following

THEOREM 14. *Let* $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$, *where* $0 < a_j b_j \le 1$ *for* $j = 1, \dots, d$. *Let* $p \ge 1$ *be an integer; let* $0 < a_j \le 1$ *when* $p = 1$ *and* $0 < a_j < p$ *when* $p \ge 2$, *for* $j = 1, \dots, d$. *If* $\{\boldsymbol{\mu_{k,n}}\}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, *with* $\boldsymbol{\mu_{k,n}} := (\mu_{k_1,n_1}, \dots, \mu_{k_d,n_d})$, *is a set of vectorial coefficients that satisfy*

$$L_j = \sum_{n_j\in\mathbb{Z}} \sup_{h_j\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|\mu_{n_j,h_j} - h_j\right| < \frac{\left(\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}\left(\frac{a_j}{2}\right)\right)^2}{4a_jb_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \tag{4.4}$$

the set $\mathcal{B} = \left\{e^{2\pi i\langle \boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{t}\rangle}\,\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}\left(\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu_{n,k}} - \boldsymbol{t}\right)\right\}_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ *is a frame for* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *with constants* $A = \prod_{j=1}^d \left(1 - \frac{2(a_jb_jL_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}\left(\frac{a_j}{2}\right)}\right)^2$ *and* $B = \prod_{j=1}^d \left(1 + \frac{2(a_jb_jL_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\mathrm{rect}^{(p)}\left(\frac{a_j}{2}\right)}\right)^2$.

The proof is a line-by line repetition of that of Theorem 13.

5. Remarks and open problems

When $a = b = 1$, the stability bound in Theorem 1 is $L = \frac{1}{4}$. We do not know whether $\frac{1}{4}$ can be replaced by any larger constant or not. A famous example by Ingham [**20**] shows that the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ in Kadec theorem is optimal, but we could not generalize Ingham's example to Gabor bases in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 7 yields stability theorems for Riesz bases on spaces of band-limited functions. Recall that a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is *band-limited* to a bounded measurable set D (or: f *is in the Paley-Wiener space* PW_D) if its Fourier transform vanishes outside D. By Plancherel theorem, PW_D is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is isometrically isomorphic to $L^2(D)$.

The importance of exponential bases in the reconstruction of bandlimited functions is emphasized by the classical sampling theorem, attributed to Shannon, Whittaker, Kotel'nikov and others ([**27**], [**34**]). By Theorem 7, the set $\{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n(k-t)}\,\mathrm{rect}(t)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ spanned by the functions $\{e^{2\pi int}\,\mathrm{rect}(k-t)\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ whenever $|\lambda_n - n| < \ell < \frac{1}{4}$, and so for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set $\{e^{2\pi ikt}\,\mathrm{sinc}(t-\lambda_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of $PW_{(k-\frac{1}{2},\,k+\frac{1}{2})}$. Also our Theorems 1 and Theorem 2 deal with frames of functions with compact support, but do not yield stability theorems in Paley-Wiener spaces. For example, consider the set $\mathcal{B} = \{e^{2\pi int}\,\mathrm{rect}(t-\mu_{n,0})\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{F}$ where $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}|\mu_{n,k}| = L_n < \frac{1}{4}$; we can see at once that the set $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} = \{-e^{2\pi i\mu_{n,0}t}\,\mathrm{sinc}(t-n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a subset of $PW_{(-\frac{1}{2}-L_n,\frac{1}{2}+L_n)}$, but it is not a basis because $\mathcal{B}$ is not a basis of $L^2(-\frac{1}{2}-L_n,\frac{1}{2}+L_n)$.

It would be interesting to study the stability properties of Riesz bases or frames of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ in the form of $\{e^{2\pi int}\,\mathrm{rect}(T_kt-k)\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\{T_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers. We hope to address this problem in a subsequent paper.

6. Appendix. The p-order holds

In electronics and signal theory, a *sampler* is a device that associates to each continuous time signal on $\mathbb{R}$ (i.e. a function $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$) a sequence of impulses $\{f(t_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of values of f at given sampling instants $t_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Usually equidistant sequences $t_k = kT$, with $T > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are chosen. In this case T is called the *sampling period* and $1/T$ the sample rate.

Let $p \geq 0$ be an integer; a *p-order hold* is a device which transforms a sequence of impulses $\{q_n\}$ into a function $f_p(t)$ that is a polynomial of degree p in each interval $[kT, (k+1)T)$. If a sequence of impulses $\{q_n\}$ is originated from a continuous time signal with a sampler, i.e., if $q_n = f(nT)$, we can view the function $f_p(t)$ as an approximation of the original function $f(t)$. The simplest and most widely used hold is the zero-order hold (ZOH). For a given function $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, we let

$$f_{ZOH}(t) \,=\, \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(nT)\ \mathrm{rect}\left(\frac{t}{T} - n\right).$$

The zero order hold model is unambiguously defined in the literature ([**11**] [**16**] [**23**]) but the definition of p-th order hold varies. The extrapolation formulas that are most used in p-hold devices are discrete versions of the Taylor expansion for differentiable function ([**4**]). For example, a 2-order hold output of a sequence $\{q_n = f(nT)\}$ in the interval $[nt, (n+1)T)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2OH}(t) &= f(nT) + \frac{f(nT) - f((n-1)T)}{T}(t - nT) \\ &\quad + \frac{f(nT) - 2f((n-1)T) + f((n-2)T)}{2!T^2}(t - nT)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

but other interpolation polynomials can be considered. When $p \geq 1$ we can let

$$f_p(t) \,=\, \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(nT)\, \chi_{(Nt-\frac{1}{2}, NT+\frac{1}{2})}\, \mathrm{rect}^{(p+1)}\left(\frac{t - nT}{T}\right).$$

This model is adopted, for example, in [**10**, pg. 495] for $p = 1$. In [**2**] is considered:

$$f_{ZOH}(t) \,=\, \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mu_n)\ \mathrm{rect}\left(\frac{t - \mu_n T}{T}\right) \tag{6.2}$$

where $\mu_n = n + \epsilon_n$. The term ϵ_n models the so-called *timing jitter*, an unwelcome phenomenon of electronic systems. It is legitimate to investigate whether signals in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ can effectively be reconstructed from ZOH devices in presence of jitter. Similarly, we can model the effect of the timing jitter also in pOH devices as $f_{pOH}(t) \,=\, \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mu_n)\ \mathrm{rect}^{(p)}\left(\frac{t-\mu_n T}{T}\right)$ Our Theorems 1 and 2 can be used to estimate how much jitter can be allowed in ZOH and pOH models. A given signal $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ can be approximated with linear combinations of functions in $\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{rect}, 1, 1)$. By Theorem 1 (with $a = b = 1$), the set $\mathcal{B} = \{e^{2\pi int}\,\mathrm{rect}(k-t)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}, n\neq 0} \cup \{\mathrm{rect}(\mu_{0,k} - t)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a

Riesz basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if $|\epsilon_k| = |\mu_{0,k} - k| < L < \frac{1}{4}$. That means that signals in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ can be effectively reconstructed also in terms of the functions in $\mathcal{B}$.

In [**21**] an extension of ZOH model in dimension $d = 2$ is considered. Let $f(t_1, t_2)$ be an analog signal, and let $\text{rect}(t_1, t_2) = \text{rect}(t_1)\ \text{rect}(t_2)$. We consider a sequence of equally spaced points $(T_1 n_1,\ T_2 n_2)$, where $T_1,\ T_2 > 0$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the zero-hold reconstruction of the signal f:

$$f_{ZOH}(t_1, t_2) \ = \sum_{n_1,\ n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n_1, n_2)\ \text{rect}\left(\frac{t_1 - n_1 T_1}{T_1},\ \frac{t_2 - n_2 T_2}{T_2}\right).$$

In the presence of a jitter, the sampling points (n_1, n_2) are replaced by $\mu_{n_1} = n_1 + \epsilon_{n_1}$ and $\mu_{n_2} = n_2 + \epsilon_{n_2}$; we can use our Theorem 13 to conclude that we can allow $|\epsilon_j| < \ell < \frac{1}{4}$, for $j = n_1, n_2$.

A jitters can appear also in the orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM), a method of encoding digital data on multiple carrier frequencies. OFDM has developed into a popular scheme for wideband digital communication, used in applications such as digital television and audio broadcasting. According to the basic OFDM realization [**17**],[**26**], the transmitted signal $f(t)$ can be often expressed by

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n,k}\ \text{rect}(t-k)\, e^{2\pi i \lambda_n t}$$

where $\lambda_n = n/T$. The *jitter frequency* if modeled by $\lambda_n = \frac{n+\epsilon_n}{T}$, for some $\epsilon_n > 0$. As in the previous example, it is important to understand how much jitter can be tolerated in order to and still obtain a good signal reconstruction.

Let $\Gamma = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$. By Theorem 7 (with $a = b = 1$), the set $\mathcal{C} = \{e^{2\pi i n t}\,\text{rect}(k-t)\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}, n\notin\Gamma} \cup \{e^{2\pi i \lambda_n t}\,\text{rect}(k-t)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}, n\in\Gamma}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if $|\epsilon_n| = |\lambda_n - n| < L < \frac{1}{4}$. That means that signals in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ can be effectively reconstructed also in terms of the functions in $\mathcal{C}$.

References

[1] A. Aldroubi, K. Gröchenig, *Nonuniform sampling and reconstruction in shift-invariant spaces*, SIAM review, 43(4), (2001), 585-620.

[2] L. Angrisani, M. D'Arco, *Modeling timing jitter effects in digital-to-analog converters, Instrumentation and Measurement*, IEEE Transactions on, 58(2), (2009), 330-336.

[3] A. E. Ingham, *Some trigonometrical inequalities with applications to the theory of series*, Math. Z. 41 (1936), 367–379.

[4] C. Bonivento, C. Melchiorri, R. Zanasi, *Sistemi di controllo digitale.* Esculapio, 1995.

[5] Y. Chen, A.J. Goldsmith, Y.C. Eldar,*Channel capacity under sub-nyquist nonuniform sampling, Information Theory*, IEEE Transactions on, 60(8), (2014), 4739-4756.

[6] O. Christensen, *A Paley-Wiener theorem for frames*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 2199–2202.

[7] O.Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhäuser, 2003.

[8] O. Christensen, *Moment problems and stability results for frames with applications to irregular sampling and Gabor frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 3 (1996), 82–86.

[9] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
[10] R.L. Easton Jr, *Fourier methods in imaging*. John Wiley & Sons, 2010.
[11] O.W. Eshbach, B.D. Tapley, T.R. Poston, *Eshbach's handbook of engineering fundamentals*, John Wiley & Sons, 1990.
[12] S. Favier, R. Zalik, *On the stability of frames and Riesz bases*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 2 (1995), 160-173.
[13] H. Feichtinger, W. Sun *Stability of Gabor frames with arbitrary sampling points*, Acta Math.Hung. 113, (3) (2006) pp 187–212
[14] K. Gröchenig, *Irregular sampling of wavelet and short-time Fourier transforms*, Constr. Approx., 9(1993), 283–297.
[15] C. Heil, *A basis theory primer*, Appl. Num. Harm. Analysis, Birkhäuser 2011.
[16] D. Hinrichsen, A.J. Pritchard, *Mathematical systems theory I: modelling, state space analysis, stability and robustness*. Vol. 48. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
[17] H. Hrasnica, A. Haidine, R. Lehnert, *Broadband powerline communications: network design*. John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
[18] M.I. Kadec, *The exact value of the Paley-Wiener constant*, Soviet Math. Dokl., 5 (1964), 559-561.
[19] G. Kozma, S. Nitzan, *Combining Riesz bases*, Inventiones mathematicae 199.1 (2015).
[20] A. E. Ingham, *Some trigonometrical inequalities with applications to the theory of series*, Math. Z. 41 (1936), 367–379.
[21] J.S. Lim, *Two-dimensional signal and image processing*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, Prentice Hall, 1990, 710 p. 1 (1990).
[22] M.L. Meade, C.R. Dillon, *Signals and systems*. Vol. 8. Springer Science & Business Media, 1991.
[23] A.V. Oppenheim, A.S. Willsky, S.H. Nawab, *Signals and systems*, Pearson, 2014.
[24] R. Paley, N. Wiener, *Fourier transforms in the complex domain*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications vol. 19. Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1934.
[25] Prautzsch, H., Boehm, W., Paluszny, M., *Bezier and B-Spline Techniques*, Springer Science and Business Media (2002).
[26] H. Rohling, *OFDM: concepts for future communication systems*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
[27] C. E. Shannon, *Communication in the presence of noise* in Proc. IRE, vol. 37, 1949, pp. 10–21.
[28] I. J. Schönberg, *Contributions to the problem of approximation of equidistant data by analytic functions*. In: "Schoenberg Selected Papers". Birkhuser (1988), pp. 3–57.
[29] I. J. Schönberg, *"Cardinal interpolation and spline functions*. J. Approx. teory 2 (2) (1969), pp. 167–206.
[30] W. Sun, X. Zhou, *On Kadec's 1/4-theorem and the stability of Gabor Frames*, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, 7(2) (1999), 239-242.
[31] W. Sun, X. Zhou, *On the stability of Gabor frames*, Adv. Appl. Math., 26 (2001), 181-191.
[32] W.Sun and X.Zhou, *Irregular wavelet/Gabor frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 13 (2002), 63-76.
[33] W. Sun, X. Zhou, *Irregular Gabor frames and their stability*, Proc. American Math. Soc., 131(2003), 2883–2893.
[34] M. Unser, *Sampling - 50 Years After Shannon*, Proceedings of the IEEE, 2000, 569-587.
[35] M. Unser, A. Aldroubi, M. Eden, *B-spline signal processing. I. Theory*, Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on 41 (2), 821-833.
[36] R. M. Young, *An introduction to nonharmonic Fourier series*, Academic Press, 2001.